

have on Final Reading to facilitate the technical changes and would not affect the funding mechanism or the...any of the provisions dealing with the state and the county match. So the state would still pick it up, I would not touch that, I have no problem with how the body handled the LB 288. But I think it makes good sense just so that we do make the homestead exemption a program that is monitored and I think the provisions that I mentioned, the two provisions as far as the annual application and the fact that individuals not be eligible until...unless they were born on or before January 1 of the year in which they turn 65. It makes good sense and I would just ask that the body consider that and, Senator Pappas, I would hope that with in mind you might consider withdrawing the IPP motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hannibal, would you care to discuss the motion?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. Speaker, with an IPP motion up, doesn't the introducer have the right to lay it over? Was the introducer of the bill itself asked whether he wanted to take up the IPP?

SPEAKER BARRETT: He was not asked, no.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Maybe we ought to ask him if he wants to take it up or lay it over.

SPEAKER BARRETT: That's the Chair's...I'll take full responsibility, I'm sorry. Thank you. Senator Hannibal, would you care to speak to it?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Then I will Mr. Speaker. I would request that it not be killed. I will speak against the IPP motion because I am hoping that we can have some people that will search themselves on their vote on the IPP motion on 288 and more specifically those...several people, seven or eight that were absent and those several people that did not register their vote either way on the kill motion on 288, I am very concerned that we have a balance of fiscal responsibility between the local subdivisions and state government. I think it is unfortunate that if some of you were believing that the kill motion of 288 was going to affect homeowners. Senator Johnson, can I ask you a couple of questions? Senator Johnson, if 288 had passed in its amended form as Senator Labedz and others had amended it, the requirements for qualification under 288 as far as your income scales would not have changed from what they are